

Evaluation Results June 15, 2007

- 1. Was the material presented in a clear manner?
 - ➤ Yes, all of the presenters were well-paced and easy to understand. They took the time to answer questions and to follow up on topics.
 - > Very clear.
 - > Yes (8)
- 2. Was the length and pace of the meeting all right?
 - Yes, maybe we could start a little earlier, work through lunch.
 - Exceptional! Flexible within limits and adequate breaks.
 - > Yes (6)
 - > Yes lots on the agenda, but very organized.
 - > There was a lot presented.
- 3. What were the weaknesses of the meeting?
 - ➤ I have the perception that we might be getting so much information that we are confusing some of our activities. I suggest the idea of a develop and flow chart for the various efforts like ARSD re-write. Start with a purpose and then identify steps taken so far.
 - ➤ Some "key faces" (and what those individuals bring to the table) were missing.
 - Nothing.
 - > No (2)
 - > None (3)
- 4. What were the strengths of the meeting?

- ➤ Open discussion lots of support for people to allow them to participate and feel comfortable doing so.
- Good information and great sense of cohesiveness of purpose.
- > Everything.
- Great group: lots of discussion!
- Good information on choice and self-directed services.
- ➤ I felt the Work Incentives Planning and Assistance Program information was very useful. Good environment for meeting.
- Great facilitating by Brenda Smith.
- Good conversations and ideas exchanged.
- Hearing the specifics about current projects/services.
- All good information and good discussion in subcommittees.
- 5. Are there new topics or issues that you would like us to present to the workgroup?
 - Medicaid Infrastructure/Medicaid Buy-In process.
 - Maybe share more of how SC's operate, some success stories from each region.
 - ➤ No.
 - Not at this time.
 - Working with individuals that have co-occurring disorders, i.e. mental health/developmental disability.
 - Nothing I can think of.
- 6. To improve future meetings I would:
 - Suggest a brief history of meetings and topics held up to this point, starting at the time PLANS began.
 - ➤ Continue as the previous, including this one. Meetings were planned and conducted.
 - > N/A

- ➤ I think the meeting was well organized and wouldn't change anything for future meetings.
- ➤ None.

7. Other:

- ➤ Follow up path meeting to see what should happen over the next two years.
- ➤ Good food as always!!
- ➤ Good job team!